

1 STEVEN G. KALAR
2 Federal Public Defender
3 GALIA AMRAM (CABN 250551)
4 Assistant Federal Public Defender
5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36106
6 San Francisco, CA 94102
7 Telephone: (415) 436-7700
8 Facsimile: (415) 436-7706
9 Galia_Amram@fd.org
10 Counsel for Defendant Adam SHAFI

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
14
15 Plaintiff,
16 v.
17 ADAM SHAFI,
18 Defendant.

No. 15-CR-582 WHO

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS
CONFERENCE**

I. STIPULATION

The parties in this case agree and jointly request the Court to move the status conference scheduled in the above captioned case from June 16, 2016 to June 23, 2016. The reason for this request is that defense counsel was recently added to the case and she is currently scheduled to be out of the district on June 16th.

The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the end of justice served by granting such an

1 exclusion of time for the purposes of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interest
2 of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

3
4 DATED: May 23, 2016

_____/s/_____
S. WAQAR HASIB
Assistant United States Attorney

5
6
7 DATED: May 23, 2016

_____/s/_____
GALIA AMRAM
Attorney for Defendant Adam Shafi

8
9
10
11
12 [PROPOSED] ORDER

13 For the reasons stated above the Court hereby CONTINUES the status hearing in the
14 aforementioned case from June 16, 2016 to June 23, 2016. The Court further finds that the
15 exclusion from the time limits of this period applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 is warranted and
16 that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and
17 the defendant in a speedy trial. Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the
18 defendant effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18
19 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23
24 DATED: _____

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK
United States District Judge